



No.	NK Forum 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting Minutes at Canbury Pavillion 10 November 2021	Action
1.00	<p><b>Those present:</b> <i>In person:</i> Diane Watling (chair); Howard Sheppard; Trevor Willis; John Parish; David Cockle; Malcolm Porter; Jonathan Rollason; <i>Via video + audio only:</i> David Cunningham; Saphina Sharif; Katrina Ledbetter; John Parish (part)</p> <p><b>Apologies:</b> Michael Pearson; Asa Backman; Glen Keywood; Maria Netley</p>	
2.00	<b>Minutes Previous Meeting</b>	
2.01	There were no objections received to the previous meeting minutes and these were signed off by DW as agreed.	Note
3.00	<b>Reg 14 Survey Analysis</b>	
3.02	DW reported the responses received had generally been supportive although with concerns expressed over some specific policies that were now being considered and modified to take account of consultation feedback. This feedback and scope of necessary appropriate redraft has been discussed by DW and HS who have met with helpful council planning officers. The GLA had also been advised and were similarly receptive and helpful. DW reported there was some overlap with RBK's emerging Local Plan and small departures as to some aspects of the Plan, but compromise was workable and RBK's and GLA's formal feedback to the Forum for the Forum's considered review is awaited before proceeding with final submission to the residents of North Kingston prior to delivery to RBK and downstream to the Planning Inspectorate.	Keep under review
3.03	An appendix design code would also need to be further developed to accompany the final edition.	NK Forum
3.04	A review and an amended AECOM Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Risk Assessment (HRA) would be developed as the emerging final document crystallised. DW advised government approval for AECOM to do the HRA had already been received but this would be deferred until councils' feedback received.	Keep under review
3.05	DW advised the redrafted plan would also need to be run past the Forum's external planning advisor Neil for legal compliance with §8 of Schedule 4B of the 1990 Act, before presentation to independent planning inspectorate but that this would happen when all feedback had been received and redrafted amendments fully considered so that any costs involved were minimised.	Keep under review
3.06	Further along the timetable there is need to hold a general meeting with the north Kingston community for consultation on adjustments before final submission of the plan to the council. GLA Mayor's Office will comment at the council's Reg 16 consultation.	To be advised
3.07	DW advised with statutory timings for consultations it was unlikely the plan could be delivered finalised in time to marry with the local elections in May 2022. The referendum would likely need to take place at a future date to be advised.	To be advised
3.08	David Cunningham (DC) advised that RBK council have never published a policy as to how they allocate CIL funds to Neighbourhood Forums within the remit of the relevant Neighbourhood Committee and suggested the N-Plan ought identify parameters and such qualifying criteria for the allocation of CIL funds where the Forum may have future influence on expenditure or may offer their support of projects coming through; at present RBK received ad hoc requests for CIL funding on random projects across each Neighbourhood, each project competing for limited funds. The award of CIL varies from borough council to council and across the country.	NK Forum
3.09	DC suggested CIL control could be 15% -25% through neighbourhood committee depending on nature of the project being applied for with further up to 75% might	Note



No.	NK Forum 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting Minutes at Canbury Pavillion 10 November 2021		Action
	be apportioned from the RBK council controlled CIL fund for suitable local strategic infrastructure projects. DC advised RBK's last two Neighbourhood Meetings minutes recorded his raising of this matter.		
<b>4.00</b>	<b>Local Planning matters:</b>		
4.01	<b>NKForum Response to RBK Article 4 consultation to remove class MA permitted development rights</b> The Forum have supported the Council's proposals for removing the permitted blanket permission to convert local shopping parades and offices into residential without need to apply for planning permission in our area. However, the Forum is disappointed that not all parades identified by the NPlan in our area are to be given protection at this time.	Note	
4.02	<b>Canbury Car Park</b> - revised planning application. This still considered too tall and unsuitable over development. A response letter objecting to this has been sent by the Forum with acknowledgement of this received from the council's planning department.		
4.03 4.04	<b>84 Lower Ham Road</b> – The Forum have objected to this unsuitable demolition of a character property and replacement with a block of flats with surface car parking. RBK have refused permission but the planning application is being taken to formal appeal by the applicant.		
4.05	<b>Barge Dock Canbury Gardens:</b> DW reported the Forum is aware of a letter from a resident from the Trafalgar Building that overlooks the river questioning RBK to determine whether development of the 2012 approved development has started or if this permission has lapsed.		
4.06	<b>The Albany</b> Another application for communication masts on another of the three blocks is anticipated. It is thought the applicant may be able to use other legislation to facilitate these if permission is refused.		
4.07	<b>Acre Road Former clinic/ school:</b> The site is being sold at auction for development. No detail proposals have been received although those organising the sale have consulted RBK planning department and are suggesting replacing with 5 storey blocks of flats.		
4.08	DW/HS have advised there had been numerous monthly residential extension applications throughout the neighbourhood many under permitted development rights to rooftops but nothing of adverse significance out of the ordinary has been noted or reported to us requiring the Forum's need for comment.		
<b>5.00</b>	<b>Key tasks:</b>		
5.01	<b>STAGE</b>	<b>DATES</b>	<b>TARGET TIMING</b>
	<b>Consult with RBK strategic planning</b>	On going	
	<b>Reg14 first draft plan:</b>	Delivered summer 2021	
	<b>AGM public consultation</b>	TO BE ADVISED	Winter 2021/22
	<b>Submission of amended plan reg 15 to RBK and statutory authorities for Reg 16:</b>	Winter 2021/2022	
	<b>Regulation 16 organised by RBK public notice, publish on website etc.:</b>	Winter 2021/2022	6 weeks feedback Spring 2022



	<b>Submission to Inspectors and report:</b>	Spring 2022	Statutory circa 8 weeks reqd
	<b>Referendum:</b>	Summer 2022	
	<b>Made/adopted:</b>	Summer 2022	
5.02	Kingston Council have previously published their <a href="#">Local Plan</a> timetable:		
	<b>STAGE</b>	<b>DATES</b>	<b>PUBLIC CONSULTATION</b>
	<b>Further Engagement Reg 18</b>	Q2/Q3 2021	June 2021-Sept 2021
	<b>Publication draft (Reg 19)</b>	Q1 2023	
	<b>Submission (Reg 22)</b>	Q1 2023	
	<b>Examination in public (Reg 24)</b>	Q2/Q3 2023	
	<b>Inspector's report</b>	Q4 2023	
	<b>Adoption</b>	Q4 2023	
<b>6.00</b>	<b>Committee Membership and Meetings:</b>		
6.01	<b>Recruitment</b> DW asked if members know of anyone willing to join the committee and support the Forum working parties then please put names and contact details forward. next meeting 8 <sup>th</sup> January at the Pavillion 4pm .		NP teams
6.02	<b>2022 Diary</b> For now assume meetings will continue on 2 <sup>nd</sup> Wednesday of the month at the Pavillion and these slots being reserved. DW to discuss with all whether a different timing may be more convenient and available for all.		DW
<b>7.00</b>	<b>Any Other Business</b>		
7.01			
7.02	DW reported on finances there would be a need for more grant aid to be sought for the closing stages of the plan finalisation and delivery to cover external consultancy fees, print and mailing costs associated with the referendum publicity.		
7.03	DW asked if anyone has experience of strategic digital on line campaigns and could assist in the run up to referendum, (hopefully free of charge if living within the NK area), to put names forward		
7.04	MP observed that the overgrown no man's land and collapsing fencing corner of Acre Road and Gibbon Road appeared to have now been cleared and new fencing erected. It appeared to have then been informally acquired by the owner of the nearest adjacent property in Gibbon road as extension of their gardens although was previously separately fenced off from same. MP understood this was public space council owned land on the Kingston Local ordnance survey mapping and this had been earmarked on the draft N-Plan for improvement as having pocket park/ green space potential together with alterations to the junction road layout. Concern was expressed it may have been land grabbed and lost to the RBK council or not properly disposed of? DW thought private investment had been forthcoming on the piece of land.		
<b>8.00</b>	<b>Next Meeting</b>		



8.01	The next meeting will be held at the Pavillion Canbury Gardens beside tennis courts Wednesday 8th December 4.00pm.	All