

Minutes
51st NKForum Meeting
Wednesday 16th Sept 2020
4pm - Zoom

| Item | Description | Action | Whom |
|------|---|--|------|
| 1 | Apologies were received from Glen Keywood and Maria Netley. | | |
| 2 | Matters arising from our last meeting. The comments are incorporated in the discussion below. | | |
| 3 | <p>Update on plan and key dates. The plan is moving along slowly. Di has had some computer problems. Some of the policies are being updated and the plan will be distributed later this month.</p> <p>Di thanked everyone for their suggestions. The online questionnaire format is being addressed.</p> <p>John asked if we have a target for the number of respondents. Di said we will distribute the notification flyer to some 10,000 homes via a postal reach in addition to social media and email cascades, and we hope we get a significant take up. Two percent would be a good response. Marilyn said we should stress the fact that even if residents had responded in the past they need to do so again.</p> <p>Howard raised the issue of new Government housing targets and the changes to home working but said we cannot guess the outcomes (which will likely not be introduced before 2024). John suggested a test run of the questionnaire to 100 (Cara?) residents to receive feed back.</p> | <p>Di to continue working on outstanding items</p> <p>John, Malcolm & Glen to work on survey</p> | |
| 4 | <p>Discussion on maps.</p> <p>Di thanked Asa for the enormous amount of work putting the maps together and then</p> | Di & Asa to contact | |

Address: North Kingston Forum, c/o 99 Richmond Park Road, Kingston upon Thames, KT2 6AF.

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | <p>talked the committee through the maps. A discussion ensued. Malcolm expressed concerns about a lay person's interpretation. Asa explained that the maps are going to the council's map department for finalisation and clarification.</p> <p>Di explained that the Canbury Car Park site is still included as a Site Policy in the NPlan due to the uncertainty of how such a complex site will be delivered as a whole, even though the application may have come forward. David thought it would come to the November DCC meeting for determination. Di expressed concern over the delivery of Phase 2 of the proposed development. Because of our concerns we have retained Policy NK20. We are also concerned about the traffic plan should Phase 2 not go ahead.</p> <p>Di then ran through the the other maps. A discussion around trees ensued with concerns about the number of new trees that do not survive. The issue of litter was also raised with a lack of litter bins in the Borough. Katrina explained that the council had been unable to keep up with the amount of litter discarded. Trevor said he was initiating a project to create resident groups to litter pick.</p> | <p>Council to finalise the maps.</p> <p>Trevor to initiate litter picking project</p> | |
| 5 | <p>Outstanding planning issues including <u>16-18 Richmond Road</u>. The application was refused. David thought we could expect an appeal. The Coigne rebuild at 84 Lower Ham Road was discussed with concerns about the pavement in front and the garden with further discussion about flooding and the proposed basement excavation.</p> | <p>Wait and See for 16-18 Richmond Road. Committee to discuss further on 84 LHR for possible objection</p> | |
| 6 | <p>Feedback on Low Traffic Neighbourhood initiative in Lower Ham Road. Residents have expressed concerns about the apparent lack of consultation. Katrina explained that consultation had occurred with residents. There were strong views on the issue. John expressed concerns that the issue was sports cyclist rather than rat run cars.</p> | | |
| 7 | <p>Consultation on the South London Waste Plan. A discussion ensued about our green corridors, and a suggestion we could amend the Policy for The Keep to ensure a green route from Kings Road to Latchmere Road through the site is retained should future redevelopment occur.</p> | <p>No action on the SLWP</p> <p>Di to update policy NK29</p> | |
| 8 | <p>AOB. Di raised the issue of the Royal Parks movement plan. The Ham and Petersham forum have suggested we ask Royal Parks to meet and present their thoughts. A public zoom meeting was suggested and</p> | <p>Di to confirm with H&PNF. Marilyn to send our response to</p> | |

| | | | |
|----|--|-------------|--|
| | agreed. Marilyn asked if we were ok for the draft RBK tree planting submission. | the council | |
| 9 | Next meeting <u>Wednesday 21st October</u> at time to be decided at meeting. Suggested 7.30 pm? | | |
| 10 | Attendees: Diane Watling Jonathan Rollason Howard Sheppard Malcolm Porter Asa Backman Saphina Sharif Trevor Willis Marilyn Mason John Parrish Cllr David Cunningham Cllr Katrina Lidbetter | | |

Agenda 51st Meeting

1 Apologies

2 Matters arising from our last meeting

3 Update on plan and key dates

4 Outstanding planning issues including 16-18 Richmond Road

5 Feedback on Low Traffic Neighbourhood initiative in Lower Ham Road

6 Consultation on the South London Waste plan

7 AOB

Next meeting Wednesday 21st October at time to be decided at meeting.

Address: North Kingston Forum, c/o 99 Richmond Park Road, Kingston upon Thames, KT2 6AF.

Website: <https://northkingstonforum.org>

em@il: northkingstonforum@gmail.com

